

## E-GOVERNMENT: RECENT PUBLICATIONS

TONY CARRIZALES  
*Rutgers University, Newark*

Although the term “electronic government” is relatively new, its practice and associated literature are not. Broadly defined for the purposes of this bibliography, e-government is the use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) by government. For more than a decade, e-government has been discussed in terms of possibilities and shortcomings. The growing body of literature on the subject is reflective of its growing practice.

This collection of articles, papers, and books numbers over 150, with the majority appearing in the last five years. As technology continually advances, the opportunities and practices of e-government will also continue to grow. This bibliography is inclusive of related topics, as well as terms that are often used interchangeably with e-government: digital democracy, digital government, cyberdemocracy, etc. Also included are related topics such as the digital divide (access to ICTs), an issue important to governments seeking to advance their practices of e-government.

This collection is far from complete as some relevant articles or papers may have been inadvertently left out. Moreover, it should be expected that this list will double within the next few years. The bibliography will continually be updated and can be found at the E-Governance Institute Web site ([www.andromeda.rutgers.edu/egovinst/](http://www.andromeda.rutgers.edu/egovinst/)).

### References

- Abramson, J. B., Arterton, F. C., & Orren, G. R. (1988). *The electronic commonwealth: The impact of new media technologies on democratic politics*. New York: Basic Books.
- Abramson, M. A., & Means, G. E. (Eds.). (2001). *E-Government 2001*. Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Abramson, M. A., & Morin, T. L. (Eds.). (2003). *E-Government 2003*. Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Adams, G. B., Baker, D. L., Johnson, T. G., Scott, J. K., Richardson, L. E., Jr., Wechsler, B., & Zanetti, L. A. (2002, March 23–26). *Deliberative governance: Lessons from theory and practice*. Paper presented at the 63rd American Society for Public Administration National Conference, Phoenix, Arizona.

- Agre, P. E. (2002). Real-time politics: The Internet and the political process. *Information Society*, 18(5), 311–331.
- Alexander, C. J., & Pal, L. A. (1998). *Digital democracy: Policy and politics in the wired world*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Alexander, J. H., & Grubbs, J. W. (1998). Wired government: Information technology, external public organizations, and cyberdemocracy. *Public Administration and Management: An Interactive Journal*, 3(1), 1.
- Altman, M. (2002). Prospects for e-government in Latin America: Satisfaction with democracy, social accountability, and direct democracy. *International Review of Public Administration*, 7(2), 5–20.
- Anderson, D. M. M., Cornfield, M., & Arterton, C. F. (Eds.). (2002). *Civic web: Online politics and democratic values*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Arterton, F. C. (1987). *Can technology protect democracy?* Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Arterton, F. C. (1988). Political participation and teledemocracy. *PS: Political Science and Politics* 21(3), 620–626.
- Baddeley, S. (1997). Governmentality. In B. D. Loader (Ed.), *The governance of cyberspace: Politics, technology and global restructuring* (pp. 64–96). London: Routledge.
- Baker, P. M. A., & Ward, A. C. (2000). Community formation and dynamics in the virtual metropolis. *National Civic Review* 89(3), 203–215.
- Barabas, J. (2002, September 20–22). *Virtual deliberation: Knowledge from online interaction versus ordinary discussion*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Barber, B. (1984). *Strong democracy: Participatory politics for a new age*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Barber, B. (1998). Three scenarios for the future of technology and strong democracy. *Political Science Quarterly*, 113(4), 573–589.
- Barber, B. (2001). The uncertainty of digital politics: Democracy's uneasy relationship with information technology. *Harvard International Review*, 23, 42–47.
- Barbieri, D. (2004, March 20–23). *Matrix approach to citizens' satisfaction measurement: Evaluation of the ICT tools use as a municipal administration-citizen strengthening connection strategy*. Paper presented at the 64th American Society for Public Administration National Conference, Portland, Oregon.
- Becker, S. A. (2004). E-government visual accessibility for older adult users. *Social Science Computer Review*, 22(1), 11–23.
- Becker, T. (1993). Teledemocracy: Gathering momentum in state and local governance. *Spectrum: The Journal of State and Government*, 66(2), 14–19.
- Becker, T. (2004). Teledemocratic innovations that public officials ignore: At our great peril. In M. Mälkiä, A. Anttiroiko, & R. Savolainen (Eds.), *eTransformation in Governance: New directions in government and politics* (pp. 51–66). Hershey: Idea Group Publishing.
- Becker, T., & Slaton, C. (2000). *The future of teledemocracy*. New York: Praeger.
- Beierle, T. C. (2002). Democracy on-line: An evaluation of the national dialogue on public involvement in EPA decisions. [www.rff.org/reports/PDF\\_files/democracyonline.pdf](http://www.rff.org/reports/PDF_files/democracyonline.pdf), accessed January 1, 2003.
- Beierle, T. C. (2002, September 20–22). *Engaging the public through online policy dialogues*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Bellamy, C., & Taylor, J. A. (1998). *Governing in the information age*. Buckingham, UK: Open University Press.
- Blanchard, A., & Horan, T. (1998). Virtual communities and social capital. *Social Science Computer Review*, 16, 293–307.
- Bovens, M., & Zouridis, S. (2002). From street-level to system-level bureaucracies: How

- information and communication technology is transforming administrative discretion and constitution control. *Public Administration Review*, 62(2), 174–184.
- Brants, K., Huizenga, M., & van Meerten, R. (1996). The canals of Amsterdam: An exercise in local electronic democracy. *Media, Culture, and Society*, 18(2), 233–247.
- Brown, M. M. (2001). The benefits and costs of information technology innovations: An empirical assessment of a local government agency. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 24, 351–366.
- Browning, G. (2002). *Electronic democracy: Using the Internet to transform American politics*. Medford, NJ: CyberAge Books.
- Bryan, C., Tsagarousianou, R., & Tambini, D. (1998). Electronic democracy and the civic networking movement in context. In R. Tsagarousianou, D. Tambini, & C. Bryan, *Cyberdemocracy: Technology, cities, and civic networks* (pp. 1–17). New York: Routledge.
- Catinat, M., & Vedel, T. (2000). Public policies for digital democracy. In K. L. Hacker & J. van Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 184–208). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Cavanaugh, J. W. (2000). E-democracy: Thinking about the impact of technology on civic life. *National Civic Review*, 89(3), 229–234.
- Chadwick, A. (2003). Bringing e-democracy back in: Why it matters for future research on e-governance. *Social Science Computer Review*, 21(4), 443–455.
- Charlton, C., Gittings, C., Leng, P., Little, J., & Neilson, I. (1997). Diffusion of the Internet: A local perspective on an international issue. In T. J. Larsen and E. McGuire (Eds.), *Information systems innovation and diffusion* (pp. 251–296). Hershey: Idea Group Publishing.
- Chen, Y., & Perry, J. (2003). Outsourcing for e-government: Managing for success. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(4), 404–421.
- Christopher, A. F. (1987). *Teledemocracy: Can technology protect democracy?* Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Coe, A., Paquet, G., and Roy, J. (2001). E-governance and smart communities: A social learning challenge. *Social Science Computer Review*, 19(1), 80–93.
- Coleman, S. (1999). Cutting out the middle man: From virtual representation to direct deliberation. In B. N. Hague & B. D. Loader (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Discourse and decision making in the information age* (pp. 195–210). London: Routledge.
- Cohen, S., & Eimicke, W. (2001). *The use of the Internet in government service delivery*. Washington, DC: PricewaterhouseCoopers Endowment for the Business of Government, E-government Series.
- Compaine, B. M. (Ed.). (2001). *The digital divide: Facing a crisis or creating a myth?* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Conte, C. R. (1995). Teledemocracy: For better or worse. *Governing*, 8(9), 33–41.
- Cross, B. (1998). Teledemocracy: Canadian political parties listening to their constituents. In C. J. Alexander & L. A. Pal (Eds.), *Digital Democracy: Policy and politics in the wired world* (pp. 132–148). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Davis, R. (1999). *The web of politics: The Internet's impact on the American political system*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Danziger, J. N., & Andersen, K. (2002). Impacts of information technology on public administration: An analysis of empirical research from the “golden age” of transformation. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 25(5), 591–627.
- Docter, S., & Dutton, W. H. (1998). The first amendment online: Santa Monica's public electronic network. In R. Tsagarousianou, D. Tambini, & C. Bryan (Eds.), *Cyberdemocracy: Technology, cities, and civic networks* (pp. 125–151). London: Routledge.

- Dutton, W. H. (1996). Network rules of order: Regulating speech in public electronic forums. *Media, Culture and Society* 18(2), 269–290.
- Dutton, W. H. (1999). *Society on the line: Information politics in the digital age*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Edmiston, K. D. (2003). State and local e-government: Prospects and challenges. *American Review of Public Administration*, 33(1), 20–45.
- Elberse, A., M. L. Hale, & W. H. Dutton. (2000). Guiding voters through the net: The democracy network in a California primary election. In K. L. Hacker & J. van Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 130–148). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Etzioni, A., & Etzioni, O. (1999). Face-to-face and computer-mediated communities: A comparative analysis. *Information Society*, 15(4), 241–248.
- Fountain, J. E. (2001). *Building the virtual state: Information technology and institutional change*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Frissen, P. H. A. (1999). *Politics, governance, and technology: A postmodern narrative on the virtual state*. Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Galston, W. A. (2000). Does the Internet strengthen community? *National Civic Review* 89(3): 193–202.
- Gandy, O. H. (1989). The surveillance society: Information technology and bureaucratic social control. *Journal of Communication*, 39(3), 61–76.
- Gant, D. B., & Gant, J. P. (2003). Enhancing e-service delivery in state government. In A. M. Abramson & T. L. Morin (Eds.), *E-Government 2003* (pp. 53–80). Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Gattiker, U. E. (2001). *The Internet as a diverse community: Cultural, organizational, and political issues*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Gibson, R. (2001). Elections online: Assessing Internet voting in light of the Arizona democratic primary. *Political Science Quarterly*, 116(4), 561–583.
- Gibson, R., & Ward, S. (2002). Virtual campaigning: Australian parties and the impact of the Internet. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 37(1), 99–129.
- Grossman, L. K. (1995). *The electronic republic: Reshaping democracy in the information age*. New York: Viking.
- Guthrie, K. K., & Dutton, W. H. (1992). The politics of citizen access technology: The development of public information utilities in four cities. *Policy Studies Journal*, 20(4), 574–597.
- Hacker, K. L., & van Dijk, J. (2000). What is digital democracy? In K. L. Hacker & J. van Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 1–9). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Hague, B. N., & Loader, B. D. (Eds.). (1999). *Digital democracy: Discourse and decision making in the information age*. London: Routledge.
- Harwood, P. G., & McIntosh, W. V. (2002, September 20–22). *Virtual distance and America's changing sense of community*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Heeks, R. (Ed.). (1999). *Reinventing government in the information age: International practice in IT-enabled public sector reform*. London: Routledge.
- Herring, S., Job-Sluder, K. Scheckler, R., & Barab, S. (2002). Searching for safety online: Managing “trolling” in a feminist forum. *Information Society*, 18(5), 371–384.
- Hiller, J., & Belanger, F. (2001). *Privacy strategies for electronic government*. Washington, DC: PricewaterhouseCoopers Endowment for the Business of Government.
- Ho, A. T.-K. (2002). Reinventing local governments and the e-government initiative. *Public Administration Review*, 62(4), 434–444.
- Hoff, J., Horrocks, I., & Tops, P. (Eds.). (2000). *Democratic governance and new technol-*

- ogy: *Technologically mediated innovations in political practice in Western Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Holden, S. H., Norris, D. F., & Flethcher, P. D. (2003). Electronic government at the local level. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(4), 325–344.
- Holzer, M., & Kim, S.-T. (2004). *Digital governance in municipalities worldwide: An assessment of municipal web sites throughout the world*. Newark, NJ: National Center for Public Productivity.
- Holzer, M., Melitski, J., Rho, S.-Y., & Schwester, R. (Forthcoming). *Restoring trust in government: The potential of digital citizen participation*. Washington, DC: IBM Endowment for the Business of Government.
- Hughes, P. (1996, September 21). Electronic democracy: An opportunity for the community to improve its power of governance. [www.naturespace.co.nz/ed/edov.htm](http://www.naturespace.co.nz/ed/edov.htm), accessed April 6, 2003.
- Jankowski, N. W., & van Selm, M. (2000). The promise and practice of public debate in cyberspace. In K. L. Hacker & J. van Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 149–165). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Jankowski, N. W., & van Os, R. (2002, September 20–22). *Internet-based political discourse: A case study of electronic democracy in the city of Hoogeveen*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Kakabadse, A., Kakabadse, N. K., & Kouzmin, A. (2003). Reinventing the democratic governance project through information technology? A growing agenda for debate. *Public Administration Review*, 63(1), 44–60.
- Kamarck, E. C. (2002). Political campaigning on the Internet: Business as usual? In E. C. Kamarck & J. S. Nye (Eds.), *Governance.com: Democracy in the information age* (pp. 81–103). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Kamarck, E. C., & Nye, J. S., Jr. (Eds.). 1999. *Democracy.com? Governance in a Networked World*. Hollis, NH: Hollis Publishing.
- Kamarck, E. C., & Nye, J. S., Jr. (Eds.). 2003. *Governance.com: Democracy in the information age*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- King, D. C. (2003). Catching voters in the web. In E. C. Kamarck & J. S. Nye (Eds.), *Governance.com: Democracy in the information age* (pp. 104–116). Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.
- Kippen, G., & Jenkins, G. (2002, September 20–22). *The challenge of e-democracy for political parties*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Klein, H. K. (1999). Tocqueville in cyberspace: Using the Internet for citizen associations. *Information Society*, 15(4), 213–220.
- Korac-Kakabadse, A., & Korac-Kakabadse, N. (1999). Information technology's impact on the quality of democracy. In R. Heeks (Ed.), *Reinventing government in the information age: International practice in IT-enabled public sector reform* (pp. 211–228). London: Routledge.
- Kraemer, K. L., King, J. L., Dunkle, D. E., & Lane, J. P. (1989). *Managing information systems: Change and control in organizational computing*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kraemer, K. L., & Norris, D. F. (1994). *Leading edge computer use in U.S. municipalities*. Washington, DC: International City/County Management Association.
- Kuttan, A., & Peters, L. (2003). *From digital divide to digital opportunity*. Lanham, MD: Scarecrow Press.
- Lacharite, J. (2002). Electronic decentralisation in China: A critical analysis of Internet filtering policies in the People's Republic of China. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 37(2), 333–346.

- Langelier, P. A. (1995a). Special Series: Local government on the Internet. Part 1: What you can find, how to get on, and how to get around. *Popular Government*, 61(1), 43.
- Langelier, P. A. (1995b). Special Series: Local government on the Internet. Part 2: Electronic mailing lists. *Popular Government*, 61(2), 41.
- Langelier, P. A. (1996a). Special Series: Local government on the Internet. Part 3: Local government home pages. *Popular Government*, 61(3), 38–45.
- Langelier, P. A. (1996b). Special Series: Local government on the Internet. Part 4: How to evaluate Internet resources. *Popular Government*, 61(4), 41–48.
- La Porte, T., Demchak, C., de Jong, M., & Friis, C. (2000, August). *Democracy and bureaucracy in the age of the web: Empirical findings and theoretical speculations*. Paper presented at the International Political Science Association, Quebec.
- Larsen, K. R. T. (1999). Voting technology implementation. *Communications of the ACM*, 42(12), 55–57.
- Le Blanc, J., & Wilhelm, A. (2000). Arizona “ahead of its time” in online voting? *Digital Beat*, 2(27), 1.
- Lee, G., & Perry, J. (2002). Are computers boosting productivity? A test of the paradox in state governments. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 12, 77–102.
- Lips, M. (1997, May 5–6). *Reinventing public service delivery through ICT: Lessons drawing from developments in the USA, UK, and the Netherlands*. Paper presented at the IFIP WG 8.5 Workshop “Empowering the Citizens Through IT,” Stockholm, Sweden.
- Loader, Brian D. (Ed.). (1997). *The Governance of Cyberspace*. London: Routledge.
- Locke, T. (1999). Participation, inclusion, exclusion and netactivism: How the Internet invents new forms of democratic activity. In B. N. Hague & B. D. Loader (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Discourse and decision making in the information age* (pp. 211–221). London: Routledge.
- Lofgren, K. (2000). Danish political parties and new technology. In J. Hoff, I. Horrocks, & P. Tops (Eds.), *Democratic governance and new technology: Technologically mediated innovations in political practice in Western Europe* (pp. 57–70). London: Routledge.
- London, S. (1995). Teledemocracy vs. deliberative democracy: A comparative look at two models of public talk. *Journal of International Computing and Technology*, 3(2), 33–55.
- Lukensmeyer, C. J., & Brigham, S. (2002). Taking democracy to scale: Creating a town hall meeting for the twenty-first century. *National Civic Review*, 91(4), 351–366.
- Macintosh, A., Robson, E., Smith, E., & Whyte, A. (2003). Electronic democracy and young people. *Social Science Computer Review*, 21(1), 43–54.
- Mahler, J., & Regan, P. M. (2002). Learning to govern online: Federal agency Internet use. *American Review of Public Administration*, 32(3), 326–349.
- Malina, A. (1999). Perspectives on citizen democratisation and alienation in the virtual public sphere. In B. N. Hague & B. D. Loader (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Discourse and decision making in the information age* (pp. 23–38). London: Routledge.
- Mälkiä, M., Anttiroiko, A.-V., & Savolainen, R. (2004). *eTransformation in governance: New directions in government and politics*. Hershey, PA: Idea Group Publishing.
- Margolis, M., & Resnick, D. (2000). *Politics as usual: The cyberspace “revolution.”* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Mazarr, Michael J. (Ed.). (2002). *Information technology and world politics*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McLean, I. (1989). *Democracy and the new technology*. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.
- Melitski, J. (2003). Capacity and e-government performance: An analysis based on early adopters of Internet technologies in New Jersey. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(4), 376–390.

- Miller, J. (2003, October 23). EPA questions GAO's e-rulemaking audit. *Government Computer News (GCN)*. www.gcn.com, accessed April 6, 2004.
- Milward, H. B., & Snyder, L. O. (1996). Electronic government: Linking citizens to public organizations through technology. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 6(2), 261–275.
- Moon, M. J. (2002). The evolution of e-government among municipalities: Rhetoric or reality? *Public Administration Review*, 62(4), 424–433.
- Moon, M. J. (2003, January 6–9). *Can IT help government to restore public trust?: Declining public trust and potential prospects of IT in the public sector*. Paper presented at 36th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Hawaii. Available at www.hicss.hawaii.edu/HICSS36/HICSSpapers/ETEGM01.pdf.
- Mossberger, K., Tolbert, C. J., & Stansbury, M. (2003). *Virtual inequality: Beyond the digital divide*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Moulder, E. (2001). E-government . . . if you build it, will they come? *Public Management*, 83(8), 10–14.
- Muhlberger, P. (2002, September 20–22). *Access, skill, and motivation in online political discussion: The democratic digital divide*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Newton, R. (2003). Building the eDemocracy bridge. *eGov Monitor*. www.egovmonitor.com/features/cpeople01.html, accessed March 3, 2004.
- Nixon, P., & Johansson, H. (1999). Transparency through technology: The Internet and political parties. In B. N. Hague & Brian D. Loader (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Discourse and decision making in the information age* (pp. 135–153). London: Routledge.
- Norris, D. F., Fletcher, P. D., & Holden, S. H. (2001). *Is your local government plugged in? Highlights of the 2000 electronic government survey*. Baltimore: University of Maryland, Baltimore County.
- Norris, P. (2001). *Digital divide: Civic engagement, information poverty, and the Internet worldwide*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Norris, P. (Ed.). (1999). *Critical citizens: Global support for democratic government*. Cambridge: Oxford University Press.
- Nugent, J. D. (2001). If e-democracy is the answer, what's the question? *National Civic Review*, 90(3), 221–223.
- Nye, J. S. (1999). Information technology and democratic governance. In E. C. Kamarck & J. S. Nye (Eds.), *Democracy.com? Governance in a networked world* (pp. 1–18). Hollis, NH: Hollis Publishing.
- O'Looney, J. A. (2002). *Wiring governments: Challenges and possibilities for public managers*. Westport, CT: Quorum Books.
- O'Looney, J. A. (2003). *Using technology to increase citizen participation in government: The use of models and simulation*. Washington, DC: IBM Endowment for the Business of Government.
- O'Sullivan, P. B. (1995). Computer networks and political participation: Santa Monica's teledemocracy project. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 23(2), 93–107.
- Ogden, M. R. (1998). Technologies of abstraction: Cyberdemocracy and the changing communications landscape. In C. J. Alexander & L. A. Pal (Eds.), *Digital Democracy: Policy and Politics in the Wired World* (pp. 63–86). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Paolino, P., & Shaw, D. R. (2003). Can the Internet help outside candidates win the presidential nomination? *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 36(2), 193–197.
- Pavlichev, A., & Garson, G. D. (Eds.). (2003). *Digital Government*. Hershey: Idea Group Press.
- Peled, A. (2001). Centralization of diffusion? Two tales of online government. *Administration and Society*, 32(6), 686–709.

- Peters, B. G. (1996). *The future of governing: Four emerging models*. Lawrence: University Press of Kansas.
- Ranerup, A. (1999). Internet-enabled applications for local government democratisation: Contradictions of the Swedish experience. In R. Heeks (Ed.), *Reinventing government in the information age: International practice in IT-enabled public sector reform* (pp. 177–193). London: Routledge.
- Reddick, C. G. (2004, March 20–23). *A two-stage model of e-government growth*. Paper presented at the 64th American Society for Public Administration National Conference, Portland, Oregon.
- Relyea, H. C. (2001). E-gov: The federal overview. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 27(2), 131–148.
- Rocheleau, B. (2000). Prescriptions for public-sector information management: A review, analysis, and critique. *American Review of Public Administration*, 30(4), 414–435.
- Rogers, E. M., & Malhotra, S. (2000). Computers as communication: The rise of digital democracy. In K. L. Hacker & J. van Dijk (Eds.), *Digital democracy: Issues of theory and practice* (pp. 10–29). London: Sage.
- Roper, J. (1998). New Zealand political parties online: The World Wide Web as a tool for democratization or for political marketing? In C. Toulouse & T. W. Luke (Eds.), *The Politics of Cyberspace* (pp. 69–83). New York: Routledge.
- Rosen, T. (2003). E-democracy in practice: Swedish experiences of a new political tool. [www.svekom.se/skvad/E-democracy-en.pdf](http://www.svekom.se/skvad/E-democracy-en.pdf), accessed April 6, 2003.
- Roy, J. (2003). The relational dynamics of e-governance: A case study of the city of Ottawa. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(4), 391–403.
- Samuel, A. (2002, September 20–22). *From digital divide to digital democracy: Strategies from the community networking movement and beyond*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Scavo, C., and Shi, Y. (2000). The role of information technology in the reinventing government paradigm: Normative predicates and practical challenges. *Social Science Computer Review*, 18(2), 166–178.
- Shane, P. M. (2002, September 20–22). *The electronic federalist: The Internet and the eclectic institutionalization of democratic legitimacy*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Skrzycki, C. (2003, January 23). U.S. opens online portal to rulemaking: Web site invites wider participation in the regulatory process. *Washington Post*, E01.
- Skrzycki, C. (2003, October 28). Idea of electronic rulemaking boots up slowly. *Washington Post*, E01.
- Solop, F. I. (2001). Digital democracy comes of age: Internet voting and the 2000 Arizona democratic primary election. *PS: Political Science and Politics*, 34(2), 289–293.
- Sprecher, M. H. (2000). Racing to e-government: Using the Internet for citizen service delivery. *Government Finance Review*, 16(5), 21–22.
- Stanley, J. W., Weare, C., & Musso, J. (2002, September 20–22). *Participation, deliberative democracy, and the Internet: Lessons from a national forum on commercial vehicle safety*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Steyaert, J. (2000). Local governments online and the role of the resident: Government shop versus electronic community. *Social Science Computer Review*, 18(1), 3–16.
- Stowers, G. (1995). Citizen service and the information superhighway. *Public Manager*, 24(3), 15–19.
- Stowers, G. (2003). The state of federal websites: The pursuit of excellence. In A. M. Abramson & T. L. Morin (Eds.), *E-Government 2003* (pp. 17–52). Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield.



- Sullivan, J. L., Borgida, E., Jackson, M. S., Riedel, E., & Oxendine, A. R. (2002, September 20–22). *A tale of two towns: Assessing the role of political resources in a community electronic network*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Taylor, A. J., & Burt, E. (1999). Parliaments on the Web: Learning through innovation. In S. Coleman, J. Taylor, and W. van de Donk (Eds.), *Parliaments in the age of the Internet* (pp. 141–155). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Thomas, J. C. (2004). Public involvement in public administration in the information age: Speculations on the effects of technology. In M. Mälkiä, A.-V. Anttiroiko, & R. Savolainen (Eds.), *eTransformation in Governance: New Directions in Government and Politics* (pp. 67–84). Hershey, PA: Idea Group Publishing.
- Thompson, G., Frances, J., Levacic, R., & Mitchell, J. (1991). *Markets, hierarchies, and networks: The coordination of social life*. London: Sage.
- Tolbert, C., & McNeal, R. (2001, August 30–September 2). *Does the Internet increase voter participation in elections?* Paper presented at American Political Science Association National Conference, San Francisco.
- Tops, P. W., Voerman, G., & Boogers, M. (2000). Political websites during the 1998 parliamentary elections in the Netherlands. In J. Hoff, I. Horrocks, & P. Tops (Eds.), *Democratic governance and new technology: Technologically mediated innovations in political practice in Western Europe* (87–99). London: Routledge.
- Tsagarousianou, R., Tambini, D., & Bryan, C. (Eds.) (1998). *Cyberdemocracy: Technology, cities and civic networks*. London: Routledge.
- United Nations. (2003). *World public sector report 2003: E-government at the crossroads*. New York: United Nations.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. (1999). *Falling through the net: Defining the digital divide*. [www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fttn99/FTTN.pdf](http://www.ntia.doc.gov/ntiahome/fttn99/FTTN.pdf), accessed April 27, 2004.
- U.S. Department of Commerce. (2000). *Falling through the net: Toward digital inclusion*. [search.ntia.doc.gov/pdf/fttn00.pdf](http://search.ntia.doc.gov/pdf/fttn00.pdf), accessed April 27, 2004.
- U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO). (2003). *Electronic rulemaking: Efforts to facilitate public participation can be improved*. Report to the Committee on Governmental Affairs, U.S. Senate. [www.gao.gov/new.items/d03901.pdf](http://www.gao.gov/new.items/d03901.pdf), accessed January 8, 2004.
- Van Benschoten, E. (2000). Technology, democracy, and the creation of community. *National Civic Review*, 89(3), 185–192.
- Varley, P. (1991). Electronic democracy. *Technology Review*, 94(8), 42–51.
- Watson, R. T., Akselsen, S., Evjemo, B., & Aarsaether, N. (1999). Teledemocracy in local government. *Communications of the ACM*, 42(12), 58–63.
- Weare, C., Musso, J. A., & Hale, M. L. (1999). Electronic democracy and the diffusion of municipal web pages in California. *Administration & Society*, 31(1), 3–27.
- Weber, L. M. (2002, September 20–22). A survey of the literature on the Internet and democracy. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Welch, E. W., & Wong, W. (2001). Global information technology pressure and government accountability: The mediating effect of domestic context on website openness. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 11(4), 509–538.
- Wellman, B., Haase, A. Q., Witte, J., & Hampton, K. (2001). Does the Internet increase, decrease, or supplement social capital?: Social networks, participation, and community commitment. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 45(3), 436–455.
- Werry, C., & Mowbray, M. (Eds.). (2001). *Online communities: Commerce, community action, and the virtual university*. Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- West, D. (2004). E-government and the transformation of service delivery and citizen attitudes. *Public Administration Review*, 64(1), 15–27.

- West, J. P., & Berman, E. M. (2001). The impact of revitalized management practices on the adoption of information technology. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 24(3), 233–253.
- Western, T. (1998). Can technology save democracy? *National Civic Review*, 87(1), 47–56.
- Western, T. (2000). E-democracy: Ready or not, here it comes. *National Civic Review*, 89(3): 217–227.
- Wilhelm, A. G. (1998). Virtual sounding boards: How deliberative is on-line political discussion? *Information, Communication & Society*, 1(3): 313–338.
- Williams, R., & Edge, D. (1996). The social shaping of technology. In W. H. Dutton & M. Peltu (Eds.), *Information and communication technologies: Visions and realities* (pp. 53–67). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Witschge, T. (2002, September 22–22). *Online deliberation: Possibilities of the Internet for deliberation*. Paper presented at the Prospects for Electronic Democracy Conference, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh.
- Yang, K. (2003). Neo-institutionalism and e-government: Beyond Jane Fountain. *Social Science Computer Review*, 21(4), 432–442.

### **E-government Journals**

- Electronic Journal of e-Government (EJEG)*. A publication by Management Centre International Limited, England. [www.ejeg.com](http://www.ejeg.com).
- International Journal of Electronic Government Research (IJEGR)*. A publication of the Information Resources Management Association. University of Maryland–Baltimore County.
- Journal of E-Government*. A publication by Haworth Press Center for E-Government at the University of Southern California.

### **Note**

This paper has not been peer reviewed.

*Tony Carrizales is a doctoral student in the Graduate Department of Public Administration at Rutgers University, Newark, and a senior research associate at the National Center for Public Productivity. Contact him at [tonyjoe@rutgers.edu](mailto:tonyjoe@rutgers.edu).*